1	HOUSE BILL NO. 766
2	AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE
3	(Proposed by the House Committee for Courts of Justice
4	on)
5	(Patron Prior to SubstituteDelegate Delaney)
6	A BILL to amend and reenact § 20-124.3 of the Code of Virginia, relating to custody and visitation
7	arrangements; best interests of the child; expert testimony.
8	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:
9	1. That § 20-124.3 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows:
10	§ 20-124.3. Best interests of the child; visitation.
11	In determining best interests of a child for purposes of determining custody or visitation
12	arrangements, including any pendente lite orders pursuant to § 20-103, the court shall consider the
13	following:
14	1. The age and physical and mental condition of the child, giving due consideration to the child's
15	changing developmental needs;
16	2. The age and physical and mental condition of each parent;
17	3. The relationship existing between each parent and each child, giving due consideration to the
18	positive involvement with the child's life, the ability to accurately assess and meet the emotional,
19	intellectual, and physical needs of the child;
20	4. The needs of the child, giving due consideration to other important relationships of the child,
21	including but not limited to siblings, peers, and extended family members;
22	5. The role that each parent has played and will play in the future, in the upbringing and care of
23	the child;
24	6. The propensity of each parent to actively support the child's contact and relationship with the
25	other parent, including whether a parent has unreasonably denied the other parent access to or visitation
26	with the child;

1

27	7. The relative willingness and demonstrated ability of each parent to maintain a close and
28	continuing relationship with the child, and the ability of each parent to cooperate in and resolve disputes
29	regarding matters affecting the child;
30	8. The reasonable preference of the child, if the court deems the child to be of reasonable
31	intelligence, understanding, age, and experience to express such a preference;
32	9. Any history of (i) family abuse as that term is defined in § 16.1-228; (ii) sexual abuse; (iii) child
33	abuse; or (iv) an act of violence, force, or threat as defined in § 19.2-152.7:1 that occurred no earlier than
34	10 years prior to the date a petition is filed. If the court finds such a history or act, the court may disregard
35	the factors in subdivision 6; and
36	10. Such other factors as the court deems necessary and proper to the determination.
37	The judge shall communicate to the parties the basis of the decision either orally or in writing.
38	Except in cases of consent orders for custody and visitation, this communication shall set forth the judge's
39	findings regarding the relevant factors set forth in this section. At the request of either party, the court may
40	order that the exchange of a child shall take place at an appropriate meeting place.
41	In addition to any other requirements imposed upon by rule or by law, in any case in which there
42	are allegations that the child subject to a custody or visitation petition has been subject to abuse described
43	in subdivision 9, expert evidence from a court-appointed or outside professional relating to the alleged
44	abuse shall only be admitted if such professional possesses demonstrated expertise and clinical experience
45	in working with victims of the type of such abuse alleged that is not solely of a forensic nature. A court
46	may not order reunification treatment, including counseling, programs, services, workshops, or camps to
47	improve a deficient relationship between a child and parent who has subjected the child or the other parent
48	to abuse as described in subdivision 9.
49	#

2